

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/912,114

Examiner

Susan McFadden

Applicant(s)

SHETTY ET AL.

Art Unit

2655

All Participants:

(1) Susan McFadden.

(2) Bradley Forest.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: *31 August 2004*

Time: 10:40

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1 and 13

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Inaam M Fodder

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Claims 1 and 13 were discussed because they were written in non-statutory language. Agreed to change claim 1 to show that the method is done by a computer by adding the words "computer implemented". Agreed to change claim 13 to show that the data structure is "stored" on a storage medium. .